# STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA State Budget and Control Board PROCUREMENT SERVICES DIVISION

JIM HODGES, CHAIRMAN GOVERNOR

GRADY L. PATTERSON, JR. STATE TREASURER

JAMES A. LANDER COMPTROLLER GENERAL



DELBERT H. SINGLETON, JR. DIVISION DIRECTOR (803) 734-2320

MATERIALS MANAGEMENT OFFICE 1201 MAIN STREET, SUITE 600 COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201 (803) 737-0600 Fax (803) 737-0639

R. VOIGHT SHEALY MATERIALS MANAGEMENT OFFICER

January 13, 2003

HUGH K. LEATHERMAN, SR. CHAIRMAN, SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

ROBERT W. HARRELL, JR. CHAIRMAN, WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

FRANK W. FUSCO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. Delbert H. Singleton Jr.
Director
Procurement Services Division
6<sup>th</sup> Floor-Wade Hampton Building
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

#### Dear Delbert:

I have attached the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division's procurement audit report and recommendations made by the Office of Audit and Certification. I concur and recommend the Budget and Control Board grant the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division a three-year certification as noted in the audit report.

Sincerely,

R. Voight Shealy

Materials Management Officer

# SOUTH CAROLINA LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

# PROCUREMENT AUDIT REPORT

**JANUARY 1, 2000 - SEPTEMBER 30, 2002** 

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Transmittal Letter	1
Scope	3
Results of Examination	4
Certification Recommendations	7
Follow-Letter	8

NOTE: The Division's responses to issues noted in this report have been inserted immediately following the items they refer to.

# STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA State Budget and Control Board PROCUREMENT SERVICES DIVISION

JIM HODGES, CHAIRMAN GOVERNOR

GRADY L. PATTERSON, JR. STATE TREASURER

JAMES A. LANDER COMPTROLLER GENERAL



DELBERT H. SINGLETON, JR. DIVISION DIRECTOR (803) 734-2320

MATERIALS MANAGEMENT OFFICE 1201 MAIN STREET, SUITE 600 COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201 (803) 737-0600 Fax (803) 737-0639

R. VOIGHT SHEALY MATERIALS MANAGEMENT OFFICER

December 17, 2002

HUGH K. LEATHERMAN, SR. CHAIRMAN, SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

ROBERT W. HARRELL, JR. CHAIRMAN, WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

FRANK W. FUSCO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Mr. R. Voight Shealy Materials Management Officer Procurement Services Division 1201 Main Street, Suite 600 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

#### Dear Voight:

We have examined the procurement policies and procedures of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division for the period January 1, 2000 through September 30, 2002. As part of our examination, we studied and evaluated the system of internal control over procurement transactions to the extent we considered necessary.

The evaluation was to establish a basis for reliance upon the system of internal control to assure adherence to the Consolidated Procurement Code, State regulations and the Division's internal procurement policy. Additionally, the evaluation was used in determining the nature, timing and extent of other auditing procedures necessary for developing an opinion on the adequacy, efficiency and effectiveness of the procurement system.

The administration of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal control over procurement transactions. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of control procedures. The objectives of a system are to

provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance of the integrity of the

procurement process that affected assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or

disposition and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization

and are recorded properly.

Because of inherent limitations in any system of internal control, errors or irregularities

may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the system to future

periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in

conditions or that the degree of compliance with the procedures may deteriorate.

Our study and evaluation of the system of internal control over procurement transactions,

as well as our overall examination of procurement policies and procedures, were conducted

with professional care. However, because of the nature of audit testing, they would not

necessarily disclose all weaknesses in the system.

The examination did, however, disclose conditions enumerated in this report which we

believe need correction or improvement.

Corrective action based on the recommendations described in these findings will in all

material respects place the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division in compliance with the

South Carolina Consolidated Procurement Code and ensuing regulations.

Sincerely,

Larry G. Sorrell, Manager

larry & Soull

Audit and Certification

2

### **SCOPE**

We conducted our examination in accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards as they apply to compliance audits. Our examination encompassed a detailed analysis of the internal procurement operating procedures of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division and its related policies and procedures manual to the extent we deemed necessary to formulate an opinion on the adequacy of the system to properly handle procurement transactions.

We selected judgemental samples from the period July 1, 2000 through September 25, 2002 of procurement transactions for compliance testing and performed other audit procedures that we considered necessary to formulate this opinion. Specifically, the scope of our audit included, but was not limited to, a review of the following:

- (1) All sole source, emergency and trade-in sale procurements for the period January 1, 2000 through September 30, 2002
- (2) Procurement transactions for the period July 1, 2000 through September 25, 2002 as follows:
  - a) Eighty-three payments each exceeding \$1,500
  - b) A block sample of 273 sequential purchase orders for order splitting and favored vendors
  - c) One construction contract and one professional service contract for compliance with the Manual for Planning and Execution of State Permanent Improvements
- (3) Minority Business Enterprise Plans and reports for the audit period
- (4) Information technology plans for the audit period
- (5) Internal procurement procedures manual
- (6) Surplus property procedures
- (7) File documentation and evidence of competition

#### **RESULTS OF EXAMINATION**

The Office of Audit and Certification conducted an examination of the internal procurement operating policies and procedures of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division. Our on-site review was conducted October 3-15, 2002 and was made under Section 11-35-1230(1) of the South Carolina Consolidated Procurement Code and Section 19-445.2020 of the accompanying regulations.

On April 11, 2000, the State Budget and Control Board granted the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division, hereinafter referred to as the Division, the following procurement certifications. The Division wants to continue these current levels of certification.

PROCUREMENT AREAS	CERTIFICATION LIMITS
Goods and Services	\$25,000 per commitment
Information Technology	\$25,000 per commitment
Consultant Services	\$25,000 per commitment

Since our previous audit in 1999, the Division has maintained what we consider to be a professional, efficient procurement system. We did note, however, the following items that should be addressed by management.

## **Blanket Purchase Agreements**

A blanket purchase agreement (BPA) is a simplified method of filling anticipated repetitive needs of small quantities with qualified sources of supply. A single purchase on each BPA at the Division could not exceed \$1,500. The following purchases exceeded \$1,500, thus making each unauthorized as defined in Regulation 19-445.2015.

<u>PO</u>	<u>Voucher</u>	<u>Date</u>	Amount
20105	928	08/20/01	\$1,844
20105	4490	02/07/02	1,630
20105	4233	02/01/02	1,548
20105	5358	04/01/02	1,596

<u>PO</u>	<u>Voucher</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Amount</u>
20105	2914	11/15/00	\$2,173
20105	5655	04/09/02	2,061
20105	6222	05/08/02	1,831
20105	7411	07/01/02	2,626
30090	489	08/06/02	1,644

Additionally, we noted that not all items required by Regulation 19-445.2100(b)(3) were contained on purchase orders 20105 and 30090. The missing items were the notice of individuals authorized to place calls, delivery requirements and invoicing requirements.

We noted two purchases charged to a BPA that should have been combined and competition solicited based on the total value.

<u>PO</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
20234	08/02/01	Skid shoes	\$1,008
20234	08/02/01	Skid shoes	1,009
		Total	\$ 2,107

We recommend the Division comply with the regulations on BPAs. The Division must request ratification of the unauthorized procurements from the Director or his designee in accordance with Regulation 19-445.2015.

#### **DIVISION RESPONSE**

This Division understands that blanket purchase agreements are a simplified method of filling anticipated needs of small quantities with qualified sources of supply. Furthermore, it is understood that single purchases are not to exceed \$1500. However, your audit team identified that on several occasions, the respective departments placed orders and the Finance Department paid invoices, which exceeded these regulations on purchase orders 20105 and 30090. Action has been taken to advise the appropriate parties of the requirements and that future said documents will include individuals/departments authorized to place calls. As for blanket purchase order 20234, the appropriate department has been advised that they are not to split procurements in order to avoid soliciting competitive bids.

A ratification request has been submitted per Regulation 19-445.2015.

#### Multi-Term Determination Not Prepared

The Division did not prepare the multi-term determination on a five-year elevator maintenance contract for the lab on purchase order 30006 dated July 17, 2001. The contract was for one year with an option to extend for four additional one-year periods. Section 11-35-2030 of the Code and Regulation 19-445-2135 define the requirements for the use of multi-term contracts. One requirement is that a written determination must be prepared justifying the use of each multi-term contract.

We recommend the Division prepare a written determination to justify each multi-term contract.

#### **DIVISION RESPONSE**

Purchase order 30006 was competitively bid for one year with the option to extend for a period of four additional one-year periods. However, a written determination justifying the use of a multi-term contract in this solicitation/award was not documented. The Division will prepare written determinations for such awards as necessary.

## Overpayment

Purchase order 30478 issued on August 19, 2002 included fifteen repair kits at \$30 each. The Division paid \$37.70 each for the fifteen kits on voucher 1192 thus resulting in an overpayment of \$115.50.

We recommend that invoices be reconciled to the purchase orders to avoid overpayments.

We also recommend the Division request a refund for the overpayment.

#### **DIVISION RESPONSE**

Purchase order 30478 included fifteen repair kits at \$30.00 each. The Division paid \$37.70 each, thus resulting in an overpayment of \$115.50. Per your recommendations, invoices will be reconciled to purchase orders and a refund requested for the overpayment.

## **CERTIFICATION RECOMMENDATIONS**

As enumerated in our transmittal letter, corrective action based on the recommendations described in this report, we believe, will in all material respects place the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division in compliance with the Consolidated Procurement Code and ensuing regulations.

Under the authority described in Section 11-35-1210 of the Procurement Code, subject to this corrective action, we will recommend the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division be recertified to make direct agency procurements for three years up to the limits as follows.

#### PROCUREMENT AREAS

RECOMMENDED CERTIFICATION LIMITS

Goods and Services \*\$25,000 per commitment

Information Technology \*\$25,000 per commitment

Consultant Services \*\$25,000 per commitment

\*The total potential purchase commitment whether single year or multi-term contracts are used.

James M. Stiles, CPPB

James M. Stiles

Audit Manager

Larry G. Sorrell, Manager

Audit and Certification

## STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA State Budget and Control Board PROCUREMENT SERVICES DIVISION

JIM HODGES, CHAIRMAN GOVERNOR

GRADY L. PATTERSON, IR. STATE TREASURER

JAMES A. LANDER COMPTROLLER GENERAL



DELBERT H. SINGLETON, JR. DIVISION DIRECTOR (803) 734-2320

MATERIALS MANAGEMENT OFFICE 1201 MAIN STREET, SUITE 600 COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201 (803) 737-0600 Fax (803) 737-0639

R. VOIGHT SHEALY MATERIALS MANAGEMENT OFFICER

January 13, 2003

ROBERT W. HARRELL, JR.

CHAIRMAN, SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN, WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE

FRANK W. FUSCO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

HUGH K. LEATHERMAN, SR.

Mr. R. Voight Shealy Materials Management Officer Materials Management Office 1201 Main Street, Suite 600 Columbia, South Carolina 29201

#### Dear Voight:

We have reviewed the response from the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division to our audit report for the period of January 1, 2000 - September 30, 2002. Also we have followed the Division's corrective action during and subsequent to our fieldwork. We are satisfied that the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division has corrected the problem areas and the internal controls over the procurement system are adequate.

Therefore, we recommend the Budget and Control Board grant the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division the certification limits noted in our report for a period of three years.

Sincerely,

Larry G. Sorrell, Manager Audit and Certification

wry & Soull

LGS/jl

**Total Copies Printed** 14 Unit Cost .18 Total Cost \$2.52